......

Excuse, please, translation was executed by computer

DEAR SIRS

Prime Minister Republic of Singapore,

MINISTERS OF THE MINISTRIES MOST CLOSE TO US:

of National Development, of Law, of Education, of the Environment and Water Resources, and others

ALLOW to SUGGEST to YOU to think of joint working out and further – realisations at you our best achievements for last 30-35 years. Some of them are already successfully realised in Russia when forces and possibilities have sufficed at us, about some now there are negotiations with the European Union. For one special project we search for the country which people can understand its necessity.

At first I would like to offer you this project.

The RETURN LAW is just such project, the most powerful, but also the most difficult and almost the most improbable. The **RETURN LAW** *directly* concerns justice and speaks: has done someone harm – return all taken away happiness, return in the most universal kind – money. To count effect and a damage in money terms we study for a long time – since 1973-1975. We eventually have created that it is possible to name the Alphabet and Grammar of an estimation of public utility and harm. And now we are able to do it better others.

Why we offer it to you?

About your republic – the city-country of Singapore - at us very much the fine fairy tale for a long time is known how you have managed to win a dirt in streets. It to me repeatedly was told by the person which friend lived in Singapore before and after. Otherwise as he this miracle could not apprehend a fairy tale, though all saw the eyes.

And more recently absolutely from other person I have heard about your other victory – in struggle against corruption. At us this infection is considered almost national line and about struggle against it only speak. Speak beautifully and fascinatingly. So struggle against it will be conducted infinitely. And consequently this our project the **RETURN LAW** neither in Russia, nor in Europe will not be carried out by the first never. Because it will much block a way to enrichment and will take away a lot of pleasure. It is more than that: even when we had a socialism and we could do everything, that we want, that is useful, - we almost in all repeated the West. And now ... you understand, that if the **RETURN LAW** managed to be entered, all liberal idea was scattered as sand. You, apparently, have passed this way as it is necessary. Rigidly, but have passed. And consequently allow to tell to you about this project in a few words.

Essentially it concerns justice, legal proceedings and concerns their main aspect – argument which defines punishment of the criminal. Now our legislation judges physical or the legal body for coincidence of algorithm of its crime with the algorithm, written down in any point of criminal code – <u>instead</u> of the account of harm which he has put to a victim. And this psychology extends not only on courts, but also for all daily life, it forms mentality of the population and the officials, all country! - everything, that is not forbidden, all is possible. And as our life on the Earth quickly becomes complicated, holes in the legislation all time extend. Still recently we did not judge for murder by means of a radio-activity, for computer crimes,... Corruption in general while at us is incompetent.

This law has entered Christian-emperor Justinian about one and a half thousand years ago as a sign of his personal Supreme power. Before that happy Hittites lived centuries just on the principle of redress; in Russia it was still 500 years ago, a new law was enter to us the church from the rotting Roman empire through the silly tsar - almost the baby...

Napoleon tried to enter a damage liability in Europe, but to it lawyers have not allowed it to make – they have found in it anything useful *to themselves*. Certainly, to lawyers it is favourable: has shown the law, there it is written, that for *this* act from 8 till 12 years of prison, the judge has given 8 years and still demands gratitude. Most simple - not to write down something harmful in the law – and so easy to leave from punishment. Now it has led on the Earth to mass criminality – excepting the Islamic countries with initially severe laws.

We at first did not know historical examples about the returnable right, we have started to think and develop this theme since 1992, since the historical moment of occurrence in the USA great Ross Perot. The European jurisprudence about it does not wish even to hear. We have written and have published the book (on a site of our academy INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT ACADEMY - http://talant-abc.narod.ru item 15) and then have temporarily receded. But when we have heard about your successes of struggle against corruption, have understood: to lower hands (hands up) early. Let's think together? Perhaps, you on globe will be the first country which will turn our justice with inveterate mossy principles on a way to revival of human community. Especially, if we achieve it in the international relations.

Clearly, it to present hardly, especially to lawyers who accustomed absolutely to another. Therefore let's think of two other projects, easier. The first of them has appeared at us several years ago - even hardly earlier, than in Europe there was its brilliant decision «Year-2009»: DECISION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL concerning the European Year of Creativity and Innovation (2009) - COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES, Brussels, 28.3.2008; KOM (2 008 159 endgultig In 2008/0064 (COD).

This movement to working out the program of training to creativity come to our mind from despair, that people without torments simply do not understand any socially useful projects — and ours and not ours. All do not understand! Because us to it do not teach! Teachers All school time pushed into our head only knowledge, and considers, that it is good!

Here this project.

PROPOSAL FOR JOINT DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM TO STUDY TO CREATE from an early age and continue to teach children to be, but does not seem, to see the flaws around - at home, in office and be able to effectively correct, to teach to make improvements and inventions. We have prepared and published in Russian textbook, which includes a lot of material, which is still not in Europe. - Although in our joy at the first supranational level EU declared creativity and innovation the main method of movement for the future. We are working on the topic with the years 1973-75, while still in full vacuum. The program and textbook are in abbreviated form on the website of our academy-items 6.16, 6.17 (en). Textbook was made for high school and elementary schools, adapted for our life. Our possible cooperation with experts in Germany will make the first textbook for the EU, perhaps with adaptation to different ages.

With the positive response by your education community, working together will give a good result, not only for your country, but much wider. Administration of the Russian city of Samara now raises the question of the organization the University of creativity under our program. If such a university will work with you, will be possible to exchange students. This will be true elite universities – but the elite are not in the sense of the most expensive.

Finally, the easiest project - the second of two, on which we are negotiating with Germany (Düsseldorf).

PROPOSAL ON JOINT DEVELOPMENT OF RADICALLY NEW SYSTEM OF CERTIFICATION of goods and services according to the criteria of environmental cleanliness and quality of CCK (site, items 3). This or some other similar certification system must soon be needed in Europe after the active implementation of the European Year of Creativity and Innovation (2009), after the occurrence of new and quality products - otherwise why should Creativity and Innovation? We have a system CCK, officially registered by GOSSTANDART and since 1994 has been active in Russia, and later in Ukraine. Ad hoc Commission of the European Union, who worked in Russia since 2007, put it in the first place (item 3.9). The system CCK provides for the analog outcome of the assessment of additional growth utility to the consumer - unlike conventional certification systems with a threshold, yes-not estimate and only on the criteria of safety: hazardous - not hazardous. In the presence of relevant stakeholders Düsseldorf can become home to a new system in Europe.

But in Asia such a system is also needed! We have a lot of products coming from Asia, not all great, but this certification system may emphasize the quality, where it is needed. I must say that it was preceded by a long development of a system to evaluate all the effects and damages (economic,

material, social, environmental and moral), and the quality of goods and services, see the book AUDIT of EFFICIENCY – site, item16.

Dear colleagues, perhaps, to develop such a systems and our other proposals, Singapore wish to unite with your friendly neighbors, such as Malaysia, which over the last decade too could make a fairy tale. Interesting countries may be Qatar, Bhutan... It will be for you and cheaper and more efficient. We (1-2-3 Russian professor - Moscow and Kaliningrad) are willing to work with you as much as it will be necessary for full implementation of the outlined objectives. For example, the first theme - joint preparation of several courts of general jurisdiction for the initial move to «return principles», practice and teaching the rules of calculation of damages for 50-100 different crimes, ranging from the everyday; for the second theme – updating and illustration textbook with your specialists to suit your conditions and prepare the ground for the creation of textbooks for different ages, perhaps, from preschool, as suggested by the EU.

You can go ahead and enter into Asia analogue of the Nobel Committee, but without the distortion of the Nobel testament, **to consider Achievements only by useful for society**; instead of Scientific degree - to establish a system of awarding **the creative degree of public development** - all by the effects for society. Do not doubt that your scientists have gradually become more productive

Conditions on our part will not be hard and will be based on the interests of the host country because of its near and distant future. The main issue for us: Do you still have any quiet, green place where we can safely do the job?

Do you still have any quiet, green place where we can safely do the job?

We are currently working with Germany - for example, the city of Düsseldorf from July, 10 till July, 15th. In Berlin, where Russian-speaking population is much more, work for us would be much easier. But there are other, powerful subjective hindrances. We assume that at you these hindrances generally can not be. Unless, of course, we are not mistaken.

With th	he hest i	regards.	
* * 1 LII LI		Czarus.	